G.R.S. IX—5]

Reserve

Previous references 1922-3 (New)

File No. 692 - 21

Serial Nos. 122

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. Nil

Part B for May 1923.

SUBJECT

Orders in the matter of certain allegations made against Mrs. Sreenivasa Rau Amildar of Tumkur & the above-Short-Disbursements of his Subordinates.

May be filed

SD/ T E S

21 3 23

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

One Anjanappa calling himself as a devotee of Sri Anjaneya Swami temple at Jodi Ganagadakuppe village, Tumkur taluk petitioned the Dewan complaining of the mis-appropriation by the late Amildar Mr S. N. Srinivasa Rao of the pay of the temple servants.

Dewans order:—

Investigation may be made to see if the allegations are true

Inspector

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

This office D.O. dy 14 5-1922.

The Dy. Comr has
requested to submit
through The Asst. Comr.
a Confidential report
on the matter.

The Asst Comr has
sent up for orders
Sirs the Accounts
Dy. Comrs. Comr with
the detailed report
dy 23-9-1922 made
by the Treasury
Assistant Commissioner
from the P&T Comrs. report

N. B Shouldroutine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

it will be seen
that the allegations
against the Brits
are not true

Minister

BB
21-3

BB
21/8/23

Third Minister
21-2/23

7758-631
23 8.23

Seen.
Yes.
Reed
24 3 23

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G. R S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc , not intended for permanent record]

N B Should room not s be ... tion o ... small slips the notes ... ust b ... pped, o... slips pasted or this form

4618
21-12-
Mus

శ్రీమన్మహారాజశ్రీయువరాజితశ్రీమ
న్మహిషూరసంస్థానద దివాన్ సాహే
బ్బరుద్యాశ్రయరసుజూరుజనాభిక.

తుమకూరు డిస్ట్రిక్ట్ తుంకూರು తాలూಕ್ಕು.
ಡಿಬ್ಬೂರು ಪೋಂಬಳ್ಳಿ ಜ್ಯೋತಿಷ್ಯದವರು.
శ్రీమదాంజనేయస్వామి యవరభక్తులుం
బనేయున లగడపాటి లక్ష్మీ:

1 శ్మ ఆంబ నేయుడీవర నోపాల్పేత్తి
కాని తక్కామ లభీష్ట శ్రీమన్మ డారాజా
దరాజ్యదవరిండ లుభితాంధ్రవాంశో
డల్పబ్బు తరు.

2 శ్మదేవస్థానద తోక్కిద్దు రాండిక్కింత భ
వన్మనతోషమొందు తక్కాంమద లాభళితమాడి
బు బబ్బి వశీలరాయప్ప సోబుపరిశీలిసిబ్బదక్కి
నిరూపదయపాటిసబేకెందు రండలుపళిపి
సిందలిసిం తీర్థయశ్లాభళిత దవర్లోశ్యామసుం
దరుత్తు.

3 ఈ లాభళిత మాడుత్తిద్దు రామయ్యనోం
బువర సారంకుశప్రవర్తనద లాభళితోదక
ళులుందళలోపాటోదళళోం విశద
రాగి సర్వేశ్వరభక్తి సువిచారసంయుక్తరుం
సుమంతరుమూడుసాళ్ళ శబ్దగళిందం లభి
వన్మనభాగిసుత్తిరువ నన్నసరగళు
ద్భళితశ్రీనివాస శేషాంతరుగళోవరమోహాన
సువంతరుగళుందయు పాటిసుత్తిరువ
లయితు.

1 ఈ సనస్థానద వ్యవహారవు చూసుంచిసబం
ద లాంకారయితు లాంఛనవన్నిత్తు సుమారు రుపా
యగళవరిగు సుతరాం దేవస్థానదవ్యవ
హారవు నడెదుకొండు బరువుదన్ను. నవలు ప్రశాంతనడెదం
వరు నేలాగడి యిరుత్తిన్న.

Statement of Patel Hanma
Gowda of Jadi Jarasandha Kuppe
Daly Session

I am one of the Dharmadarsi's
of the Anjanagas wami temple in Jadi
Jarasandha Kuppe. Since the govt
took up the management, for
a year & a half, none of the
temple servants worked Except
the Archika & the Jhadmah.
Narasa. They have all been
working now for the last one
year & a half. & are being paid.
I have been appointed as a
Dharmadarsi a year after
the govt took up the manage-
ment. The others were also made
Dharmadarsis then. There was
one Jundappa as a Dharma-
darsi After his death, ~~I~~ Bhima
Rao has been appointed
as a Dharmadarsi.

As regards the character
of the Archika, I cannot definitely
say if he is leading an immoral
life. He is going to the house
of the wife of the musician
Thimma. She has been living
separately from her husband
Byakepi Her son. Thimma

has left another woman
here.

Read over & admitted
to be correct

ಒ.ಗ ಕ್ರ ಸಂ ೨೫೨೦

S Ramesh
17.9.1922 SDO

Statement of Thimma, son of
Mudda Byakja. Duly sworn

I was married to Dodde daughter
of my Cousin sister. I was brought up
by my uncle Subaji who got me married
to his daughter's daughter Dodde. But
I have had nothing to do with her since
our marriage. Byake is her son &
She has a daughter also. Thimma Byake
who is about 12 years. She has two
daughter. None of these Children here
has come to me. She has been living separate
& the A. Chikka has been frequenting
here. I have ~~seen~~ noticed him in
her house at unusual hours during
nights also. We & hers are living
near each other. The door of my
house faces East & the door of
her house faces north. One can
hear from one house what is ~~going~~
being talked in the other

Read over, translated & admitted
to be correct
ಹ.ಚ ನಂ ಪ್ರ ಸಂ ೨೫೨೦

S Ramesh
17/9/1922 SDO

Statement of Hebbar Ramanna
Daily Swamy

Dharmadarsi were appointed for the Anjanaya Swami temple in Jarapa Koppa as per Govt. Ord: dated October 1919 The management was taken up by the Govt. from 1st July 1919.
It is a fact that the Jury & Paditharu for one year & seven or eight months have not been drawn. Once the Jury & the Paditharu for 6 months was drawn & disbursed by me & again the same for 11 months was drawn & disbursed They are now being paid monthly since. As regards the balance amount due to them for a year & seven or Eight months, I believe some correspondence is going on.

I disbursed the amount drawn for six months & have sent the necessary vouchers back to the Taluk & also the amount for 11 months. I have disbursed the full amount to all concerned on both these occasions I don't know why Thimmanna says that he is only Rs 9/- out of the amount due for 11 months.

R. A. C.

H. Ramanna
17.9.22

Statement of Byake, s/o.

Daily wages

I am one of the musicians for the Anjaneya swami temple in Jarad kuppa & get Rs. 2/8 as pay. My father Thimma takes 1 rupees for Davane. I have received my pay half once for six months & again for 11 months & have been receiving my pay regularly every month. I am still doing for one year & seven or eight months

R Z A C

ಬೈಕೆ

17/9/1923

Statement of Krishnachar son of Srinivasa char living in Jadi Jaraphad Kuppe

dy 17.9.1922

I am the Archaka of Anjanayaswamy temple at Jarapad Kuppe for the last 38 years. I live in Jaraphad Kuppe only. Jaraphad Kuppe & the above temple & they have been under the Govt management for the last four years. Since 1.7.1918 The scale for this temple was sanctioned on 14.5.1921 Since then I have been receiving Rs 2/- per month as salary & Rs 13-2-6 as Nitya-khaile per month. On the beginning the amount was drawn for six months at one time & disbursed to me & others, also. After the management was taken up by the Govt. for three years upto the time the scale was sanctioned, none of us were paid anything. Of these three years, we were once paid for 6 months & another time for 11 months. We have thus been paid for 1 year & 5 months. Since the sanction of the scale, we are being paid regularly. Still we are due one pay etc for about a year & 7 months

When I received the amount for 11 months about 5 or 6 months ago, the Dharmadarsis asked me if I want for anything, as they want to white & have some fund for the temple. I then agreed & it & paid Rs 10/- & Kavalgar Thimma paid Rs 4/-, as far as I know. I don't know if anybody else paid any thing. During the last Srirama Navami some amount has been spent in white washing the temple inside & outside in purchasing some cloths, to the deity. etc. About 50 rupees must have

been kept over the time
any account has been examined
this part he states

Scale of Income & Expenditure as
sanctioned is as follows:—

Income	Rs a p.	Expenditure	
Inam Lands -	786-5-4	(1) Nityakattale at Rs 13/2/6 per month	157-14-0
		(2) Hatchakattle	60-1-8
		(3) Establishment:-	
		(a) Manager - 7-0-0	
		(b) Archika - 2-0-0	
		(c) Musicians - 5-0-0	
		(d) Davane - 1-0-0	
		(e) Watchman(2) 4-0-0	
		19-0-0 per month	228-0-0
		(4) Patagi & Shanbhogue Patil	67-4-0
		(5) Miscellaneous	
		Kanvagam Jodi - 42-8-0	
		Local Cess - 26-8-6	
		Railway Cess - 13-1-2	
		82-1-8 -	82-1-0
		(6) Reserve for repairs	100-0-0
		(7) Contribution to Inamdarai Establishment Fund at 3/8%	24-9-0
		Reserve	66-6-1
		Total	786-4-5

He was paid fully since the time the
scale has been sanctioned. Nothing has
been paid & as for the period from the
date the court took up the management
up & the date the scale was sanctioned
the court took up the management from 1st
July 1918.

The amounts of Pandithara the
pay drawn as in June 1921 have been
paid & as through Thebour Ramanna.
As far as I remember he have all been
paid in about a year & five months
out of nearly three years previous &

the sanction of the scale & we are still due for a year & seven & fifth months

I have a relation named Venkata Chari in Perur in Kumpal Taluk. He was a pujari in Lakshminarayanaswamy temple at Perur. He was dispensed with by the villagers. Venkatachari complained against it & the Deputy Commissioner has decided in his favour. Against this, the villagers appealed against it to the Government and it has been decided that Venkatachari will not get his back but that his son will get after his death. I don't know why the villagers dispensed with Venkatachari. I have nothing to do with it nor was I in anyway associated with him.

Read over, translated & admitted to be correct

ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿದೆ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿದೆ

Taken by me

17/9/22

Sd/-
Dy Asst Commr
& Sub Divl Offr

Statement of Thimma
Daly Swamy

I am one of the two musicians in the Jadi Paragadakeri Anjanaeya swamy temple, the other being my son Byate. We get Rs 2/8 each & one rupee for Davane. Since last Srikara month, we are getting our pay regularly. We pay (arrears) for a year & six months is still due to us. The

for the period after the money was taken out by the Govt. for his pay in the period that he did not work during that time. But if they that he have worked I request that the amount may be put & paid to me

I have further request to make Sir, that it given to me now i.e. my salary & it should be increased as I find it difficult to maintain our selves, we need the same pay that we are given now.

R A C

17.9.22 Thimma

SDO

I Ramaya, son of Ranga Gowda, watchman, 2) Bojaka son of Thimma, Musician, 3, Narasa son of Sukkappa, Sweeper, they state in confirmity with what Thimma has stated

17.9.1922 Thimma

SDO

Further statement of Thimma duly sworn

I have received only Rs 9/- towards the previous arrears. I have not received full amount for 6 months or 11 months I have put in my signature only once & have been paid only once Rs 9/- I am now being paid monthly

R.A.C.

17/9/1922

Read

One Bill for Rs 114 being the arrears of Paditthana of Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at Gavaradakuppe was drawn on 21.6.21 & on this date the above amount was given to Dharmadarsi M/s H. Ramanna for disbursement from whom the individual receipts given by the persons concerned have been obtained.

Another bill for Rs 114/- being the arrears of hasi of the temple remained for the months — Dec 1920 & end of January 1921 was drawn on 21.6.21 & paid to Dharmadarsi M/s H. Ramanna for disbursement who has furnished the individual receipts obtained & payment made by him later & now —

Recorded the connected records & the Assistant's Roll Registers will be sent for favour of perusal.

H. Veerabhadra Rao
Asst.
Incharge

Read & the Dy Asstt Commr & Secretary's Office
Tumkur

One bill for Rs 2097.
January 1920 to the entire ||
has been drawn on 7.2.1922
the amount was given to Mr H Ramanna
for disbursement. This amount has been
disbursed & individual receipts obtained
& filed in the Taluk office. On the same
day Rs 217-15-8 were drawn being Sadhara
for 12 months from January 1920 to Dec 1920
was & handed over to Mr H Ramanna.
He has disbursed the amount to Archaka Krishna-
macharu & obtained his signature. The vouchers
are duly filed in the Taluk office -

It will thus be seen that the pay
of the temple Establishment & the Sadharana
amount from January 1920 upto date
have been drawn & disbursed. This was
drawn in accordance with the Mysore Superintendents
No Fdy RC 1644 of $\frac{2}{21}$ October 1919, sent
with Dy Commissioners R Dis 159 of 17.11.1919
S D of N. Dis Ref. No 29 of 21.11.19,

20/9/1922

S Ramaiah
SDO

EIC ANNAS 2-8

20.6.22

No. 9158. Mysuru

G. R. S. VI 6/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 17-5-22

Signed by Mr. A. V. A. Wilson

Section

File No. D O

Serial No.

No. 6460

Zone one

To

The Bazaar

Dunkin P.O.

Dear Sir,

I am desired by Jamadar Hercules of P.C. Regt No. 4618 dated 8th May 1922 making certain allegations against Mr. Srinivasa Rau, Assistant of the Dunkin Taluk and to request that a complaint may be registered with

over.

Received from Mr. Gopalaswamy

6 Nov 15

[illegible] sent up to [illegible] as to whether the allegations made are true.

Yours [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District,

Dated Tumkur, the 17th August 1922.

From

Md. Zahiruddin Mecca, Esquire, B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District,

T u m k u r.

GEN. 22-22 MUZRAI 724

To

T.L.Jayarama Iyer, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department,

B a n g a l o r e.

642-21-3

RECEIVED 29 AUG 1922

ST 22/8/22

S i r,

With reference to your reminder No.422 dated the 28th July 1922 requesting to expedite the report called for in the communication noted in the margin* as to the allegations made against Mr. Sreenivasa Rao, Amildar, Tumkur Taluk, I have the honour to state that a reply will be sent in the matter on receiving a report from the District Treasury Officer to whom the reference was sent only last month for enquiry and who promises to go and enquire into the matter after the Dewan Saheb's visit to this place is over.

* Muz.6460/692-4-2 dated the 17th May 1922.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

your most obedient servant,

Assistant Commissioner,
for Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District,
(absent from station).

This is an intermediate reply & the final reply promised to be sent after the Dewan's visit to the place was over, will be awaited.

SD 23/8/22

23/8/22

SK.

This amildar has been transferred from Tumkur since the petition received?

RO 23/8/22

Draft letter calling for the
[illegible]

19 22.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

To

The Revenue Secretary to
Government, BANGALORE.

Dated the 17th August 1922.

Despatched

Received

General No. 1677
No.

Enclosure No.

Stating that the report regarding the allegations made against M.Sreenivasa Rao, Amildar, Tumkur Taluk, will be forwarded after receipt of the same from the District Treasury officer, Tumkur.

****

C.1233 of 22-23
Co. 3.23

33612

From

To

Dated

Despatched 8

Received 9/2/23

General No. H C 17721
No.

Enclosure No

8 1805

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.
[illegible]

C.1233 of 22-23
Bronfb 8 14 3 23

14 MAR 1923

Forwarded with compliments to the Secretary to the Government of His -- Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, ---- Revenue Department.

14/3/23

N. Chandy

Mudrai Commissioner.

15/3/23

Office of the Deputy Commissioner

Tumkur District,

Tumkur the 7th March 1923.

From

[illegible] L.A.,

[illegible] District,

To

[illegible].

Sir,

[illegible] No.6160/Maz.69-21-[illegible] Title Rights of Anjanappa [illegible] Privasandra, [illegible] Taluk, I have [illegible] confidential report, [illegible] on the [illegible] report dated the [illegible] 10 [illegible] That I [illegible] personal enquiry, to [illegible] the [illegible] concerned [illegible] as follows :-

[illegible] only [illegible] Assistant [illegible] Privasandra [illegible] 17 [illegible] the [illegible]

he received only Rs.9/- in all, vouchers for the payment of 17 months' pay under his thumb mark have been filed in the sub Div'l. and hence, his statement is not credible.

3. From the above, it is seen that the definite charge, that the Amildar that he mis-appropriated the barrwari amount was not ~~---~~ substantiated. The other charges against him are not definite and hence not capable of verification.

4. Regarding the allegation against the Amildar that he is an immoral life and is not worthy of being placed to perform the worship of the temple, the Treasury Assistant Commissioner is of opinion that he (the pujari) does not seem to be entirely free from blame, and as such he may be removed from his post.

5. It is however seen from the Sub Division Officer's report that the arrears of pay etc, which was drawn by the Amildar is said to have been entrusted to the chair-man i.e. Mahanma for disbursement, ~~and is~~ this not known why the Amildar did not disburse the amount himself specially as it related to the arrears of pay etc, for a period of no less than 11 months. Such a course would have avoided giving room for allegations of short payments.

6. The petition received with the letter under advertance, is also enclosed.

I have the honour to be;

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Thanjavur District.

NVK.

R E P O R T.

I made confidential enquiries into the petition sent with Muzrai Secretary's D.O.No.6460/Muz.692-21-2 dated the 17th May 1922, inspected the temple on 17-9-1922 and examined a number of persons.

The allegations made against the then Amildar Mr. S.N. Srinivasa Rao are that after the management of the temple with the villages attached was taken up by the Government, no worship etc., was regularly done in the temple for some time, that inspite of this fact, the Amildar managed to draw 3 years' pay and disbursed only 3 months' pay to the temple servants, and that the remaining pay etc, has been pocketed by the Amildar and Dharmadarsi Mr. H. Ramanna of Hebbur

On a reference being made to the Barawari register etc., maintained in the Taluk Office, I find that Rs.114/- being the arrears of ~~pay~~ Paditara for six months and Rs.114/- being -- arrears of pay of the temple servants for six months from December 1920 to end of May 1921, were drawn on 21-6-1921 and given to Mr. H. Ramanna for disbursement. Mr. H. Ramanna has furnished the individual receipts for payments made by him later on and it is filed in the Taluk Office.

Another pay bill for Rs.209/- for 11 months from January 1920 to end of November 1920 and Rs.217-15-8 being Padathara -- amount for 12 months from January 1920 to end of December 1920 were drawn on 7-2-1922 and paid to Mr. H. Ramanna for disbursement. These amounts have also been duly disbursed and the -- vouchers have been filed in the Taluk Office.

Almost all the Temple servants admit that they once received their pay for six months and again for 11 months. It is only Thimma who says that he received Rs.9/- only towards -- the previous arrears, but I am not inclined to place any reliance upon his statement on the grounds that he has given vouchers for having received his pay for six months and 11 months respectively and that in his first statement he did not say that he had received ~~his pay for xxxxx months and xi months xxxxx~~ only Rs.9/-

but

came forward with this story later on, apparently under the instigation of the Patel.

Hence the allegation that the Amildar Mr.S.N. Srinivasa Rao drew the arrears of pay for 3½ years, disbursed only 3 months pay and pocketed the rest, in conjunction with Mr. H. Ramanna, has not been substantiated and is not, in my opinion, true. This is the only definite allegation against Mr. S.N. Srinivasa Rao. As regards some other allegations made against the Amildar they are indefinite and not capable of verification.

There is an allegation against the Archika Krishnamachar about immorality. From Thimma's further statement an inference can be drawn that Krishnamachar is in the habit of frequenting the house of Thimma's first wife who has been living separately from him. But it is only Thimma who speaks to it. Patel Honne Gowda who is one of the Dharmadarsis also hints at it indirectly. Patel says that he cannot definitely say if the Archika is leading an immoral life, the Archika is going to the house of the wife of Thimma. Even in my confidential enquiry I learnt that there is some truth in this allegation. But beyond the statement of -- Thimma and the indefinite statement of the Patel, nobody comes forward to speak to this fact.

The Government took up the management of the temple and the villages attached to the same from 1st July 1918, but the scale was sanctioned in Muzrai Superintendent's No. R.C. 1644 dated 2/21st October 1919. Pay and the Paditharu amount have been drawn from 1st January 1920. The temple servants have now applied for arrears of pay for 1 year and 6 or 7 months from 1st July 1918 to the end of December 1919. The matter is under correspondence. My confidential enquiry goes to show that the Archika and the Jhadmali Narasa worked regularly throughout, that the others servants worked for a year and a half since the Government took up the management and that all of them have been working since.

The file of records as per pherist are hereto attached.

23/9/22 Treasury Assistant Commissioner,